SPATIAL MODELLING OF BLUE MUSSEL FARM PRODUCTION POTENTIAL IN THE WESTERN BALTIC SEA

M. Maar*,^a, A. Holbach^a, Daniel Taylor^b, K. Timmermann^a

^a Aarhus University, Department of Bioscience, Denmark

^b Danish Shellfish Centre, DTU Aqua, Denmark







DBER 2019 MARIE MAAR

CONCEPT OF MUSSEL MITIGATION CULTURES

- Eutrophication of coastal waters is a worldwide problem
- Mussel mitigation cultures have been suggested as a tool to remove nutrients
- Site selection for mitigation cultures is an important part of sustainable marine spatial planning (MSP)
- Mussel farm production potential can provide input to MSP









DYNAMIC ENERGY BUDGET MODEL







DEB MODEL CALIBRATION 2017-2018







10 OCTOBER 2019 SENIOR RESEARCHER

MARIE MAAR

DEB MODEL VALIDATION 2018-2019





APPROACH



FROM ENVIRONMENTAL DATA TO MUSSEL GROWTH **DEB-Model Results vs.**

Linear fit: $\Sigma(fTSC)^{\times}$ vs. biomass dry-weight for two harvest times

- November
- March / April

Fit-function forced through 0

No negative biomass is modelled

Monthly average conditions describe mussel growth in DEB-model well

Uncertainty: ~± 0.2 g-DW (95% prediction interval)

Environmental Conditions





FROM SINGLE MUSSELS TO FARM-SCALE

- Functional decrease of mussel density on collector-substrate with mussel growth
- \succ Competition for space \rightarrow Fit to optimal settling conditions
- > Mussel & biomass densities depend on mussel size



THE MODEL-FARM SETUP





APPROACH



Overview Map

Spatial model of

T, S and Chl a

data

Bathym

Monitoring Site

MONITORING DATA

2007 - 2017

- > ODA Database (Danish NOVANA program)
- > LLUR / LUNG (German monitoring program)
- > SHARKweb (Swedish monitoring program)







SPATIAL MODELLING AND VALIDATION





Mean Temperature



Mean Salinity



Mean Chlorophyll-a





POTENTIAL HARVEST (T-WW)



> Percentiles based on 500 simulations of random Temp, Sal, and Chl a distributions ➢ Extr. 5% - 95% quantile-range for each raster cell







POTENTIAL N-REMOVAL PER FARM

Biomass N-Reduction - Farm 2-8 m Coll.-Loops



MENT OF BIOSCIENCE

- \succ Increased removal with water depth 2-8 m
- ➢ Up 45 t-N removal per farm (2.5 t-N/ha)
- \succ Highest removal in fjords and coastal areas
- \succ Strong response to salinity gradient
- Salinity>Chl a> temperature responses



NEXT STEPS...

Identify risks of failure:



- Natural variability
- Food depletion
- predation by eiders
- ice cover
- physical exposure
- Hypoxia
- Heat waves





Spatial planning:

- Environmental protection
- Recreational activities
- Other economic activites
- Farm costs
- Visual pollution
- Environmental impacts
- Socal acceptance



CONCLUSIONS



- Highest 5% farm production potential is in the Limfjorden, Mariager Fjord, Isefjord, NW coast of Sweden and from the Little Belt to Kiel Bay in Germany
- Salinity gradient important for production potential
- High Chl a values in fjords and coastal areas promotes high production
- Maps of production potential and Nremoval can be used in multi-criteria site selections of mussel mitigation cultures

Highest Farm Production Potentials Legend 500,000 Model Area Highest 5% Nov. Mar./Apr. Both Y-UTM [m] 5,200,000 6,300,0 000,000 Coord.-System UTM32N 700.000 800.000 900.000 500,000 600.000 X-UTM [m]

